



VILLAGE OF LINCOLNSHIRE

The minutes of the July 10, 2023, Regular Village Board Meeting were approved with the following changes: Item 3.12, paragraph 10, last sentence should read **“Trustee Grujanac suggested reaching out to the churches and community volunteers for support at future events.”**

MINUTES REGULAR VILLAGE BOARD MEETING July 10, 2023

Present:

Mayor Brandt	Trustee Grujanac
Trustee Kelly	Trustee Mitchell
Trustee Pantelis	Trustee Raizin
Trustee Wright	Village Clerk Mastandrea
Village Attorney Mack	Village Manager Burke
Village Treasurer/Finance Director Rossi	Chief of Police Leonas
Public Works Director Woodbury	Assistant Village Manager/Community & Economic Development Director Roesler
Planning & Development Manager Zozulya	Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich

CALL TO ORDER

1.0 ROLL CALL

Mayor Brandt called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m., and Village Clerk Mastandrea called the Roll.

2.0 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

3.1 Mayor’s Report

3.11 Buffalo Grove

Mayor Brandt made note that she and staff will be meeting with the President and Village Manager of the Village of Buffalo Grove tomorrow.

3.12 Red, White, & BOOM!

Mayor Brandt stated she heard Red, White, & BOOM! was an awesome event. Mayor Brandt asked the Trustees if they had any feedback.

Trustee Raizin stated it was a wonderful event and the parade giveaway balls were great but there was not enough of them. Trustee Raizin noted there were some complaints about the limited options for food on July 3rd and suggested getting more food vendors in future years.

Trustee Grujanac thanked Village staff who helped and noted many of them had family in attendance. Trustee Grujanac stated she received

comments about July 3rd not being at Spring Lake Park. Trustee Grujanac stated the group from Riverside Foundation is tremendous and that the Blue Star Memorial dedication ceremony was nice.

Mayor Brandt stated she received feedback that the fireworks were tremendous. Trustees Grujanac and Raizin agreed.

A conversation regarding the location of July 3rd at North Park, the fireworks viewing location, and attendance followed.

Trustee Wright suggested having more Lincolnshire organizations provide support in the same way the Lincolnshire Community Association used to do for the event.

Trustee Mitchell asked the Board if they would consider alternating the event on July 3rd from North Park and Spring Lake Park every other year. Trustee Raizin stated the reasons the July 3rd activities could not be at Spring Lake Park would still be the same reasons in coming years. Mayor Brandt noted some of the reasons to move the event from Spring Lake Park to North Park were the electrical, bathroom facilities, parking, and the size of the event.

Village Manager Burke noted when both days of the event were at Spring Lake Park, staff had to turn the park over from one use to another use because the space was not big enough to host all the uses at the same time. Having the attractions and carnival rides at North Park provides significant logistical benefits.

A conversation regarding the concession stand location, food vendors, and the community organizations volunteering for the event followed. Village Manager Burke stated staff does not get a lot of traction with the clubs and organizations for the July 3rd events. This may be due to summer travel schedules during the July 4 holiday. Trustee Grujanac suggested reaching out to the churches **and community volunteers** for support at future events.

Mayor Brandt thanked staff for their hard work.

3.13 Citizen of the Year

Mayor Brandt congratulated Village Clerk Mastandrea who was awarded Citizen of the Year.

3.2 Village Clerk's Report – None

3.3 Village Treasurer's Report – None

3.4 Village Manager's Report

3.41 Summer in the Shire

Village Manager Burke noted next Thursday night is the next Summer in the Shire concert at Village Green featuring Industrial Drive from 7

– 9 p.m.

3.42 Paper Shredding Event

Village Manager Burke noted the Village is hosting a paper shredding event with LRS on Saturday, July 22, at Village Hall from 9 a.m. – 12 p.m. The recommended limit for residents is three banker boxes per vehicle.

3.43 Fresh Market Building

Village Manager Burke stated staff received a building permit last week for a grocery use for the former Fresh Market building.

3.44 Food Truck Thursday

Village Manager Burke noted Food Truck Thursday is this Thursday at 300 Knightsbridge Parkway.

4.0 PAYMENT OF BILLS

4.1 Bills Presented for Payment on July 10, 2023, in the Amount of \$315,236.42

A summary of July 10, 2023, bills were presented for payment with the total being \$315,236.42. The total amount is based on \$232,192.60 for the General Fund; \$19,575.61 for the Water & Sewer Fund; \$28,240 for the Water & Sewer Improvement Fund; \$8,874.59 for the Vehicle Maintenance Fund; \$1,285.79 for the Storm Sewer Operating Fund; and \$25,067.83 for the General Capital Fund.

Trustee Grujanac moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to approve the bills dated July 10, 2023, as presented. The roll call was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

5.0 CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD (on non-agenda items only)

6.0 CONSENT AGENDA

Items on the Consent Agenda will be approved by one motion. If a Trustee wishes to discuss any item, it will be pulled from the Consent Agenda and discussed under "Unfinished Business."

6.1 Approval of the June 26, Regular Village Board Meeting Minutes

6.2 Approval of the June 26, Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes

Trustee Grujanac moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to approve

the Consent Agenda as presented. The roll call vote was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

7.0 ITEMS OF GENERAL BUSINESS

7.1 Planning, Zoning & Land Use

7.11 Approval of an Ordinance Authorizing Rezoning of Property Commonly Known as 51 Lincolnshire Drive from B2 General Business to R3 Single-Family Residence (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu)

7.12 Approval of a Purchase and Sale and Easement Agreement Between Jim Z.B. Lu and Lucy C. Lu and the Village of Lincolnshire (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu)

Mayor Brandt opened Items 7.11 and 7.12 together.

Planning & Development Manager Zozulya introduced items 7.11 and 7.12 noting it was the consensus of the Board at the last Committee of the Whole meeting for both the rezoning and the land sale agreement to be placed on the consent agenda for approval. However, one concerned resident, Mr. Al Zaiff, 43 Lincolnshire Drive was in attendance but did not have the opportunity to speak to the Board.

Mayor Brandt invited Mr. Zaiff up to the podium to present his remarks and apologized for him not being able to speak at the last Committee of the Whole meeting.

Mr. Zaiff noted concern and expressed his objection to the development of this proposal. Mr. Zaiff stated his concern is the impact the project will have on the Des Plaines River flooding that has impacted the drainage system in this area of the Village. Mr. Zaiff provided photos of past flooding events near the subject property.

Trustee Raizin asked Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich how recent storm water improvements have helped mitigate flooding over the last two years. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich noted part of the property in question is in the floodway. The term floodway means when the Des Plaines River floods, the floodway becomes part of the river. Lincolnshire has little control over river flooding, as this is a result of development upstream. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich stated the next parcel over is in the floodplain, which is regulated by the county and the state, and is part of the permitting process which will not be permitted if it adversely impacts drainage. The Lincolnshire Drive – South improvements are going to provide relief to the Village, but this parcel will be impacted mainly by the Des

Plaines River which is outside of the village’s control.

Trustee Raizin asked if the Village should require the property owner to get approval from the Lake County Stormwater Management Commission (SMC) prior to consideration of the request before the Board tonight. Village Manager Burke stated staff would not issue a building permit to the property owner to construct the proposed new single-family home until SMC gives permissibility related to construction.

Mayor Brandt noted some of the improvements the Village has committed to regarding flooding in Lincolnshire. Mayor Brandt asked Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich to explain what the Easement Agreement would do for the Village and how we would use it.

Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich stated the underlying property the Village uses to travel on Londonderry Lane is not physically owned by the Village. We have a prescriptive easement to operate on this parcel, and the Village operates water main and sanitary sewer that runs along with it. Having that under complete control will help the Village with future improvements and everything else just mentioned. The southwest corner of the parcel, the other side of Londonderry Lane, is where the Village would like to build the future storm water lift station that would take water from Lincolnshire Drive and Wiltshire to help mitigate flooding in certain events. This will keep that area dry during significant rainfalls. Mayor Brandt stated the lift station is key to helping mitigate localized flooding.

Trustee Grujanac noted concern for resident flooding in the area. Trustee Grujanac asked what the steps would be for SMC if the property is rezoned residential. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich stated the Village would not issue a building permit until an SMC permit is received. Trustee Grujanac stated for the record that the process the Village will go through with SMC will ensure this home will not adversely affect the flooding or adversely affect surrounding property values. Mayor Brandt stated one of the things we can do is have a write-up done for the homes in the area that explains the process and Mr. Lou’s willingness to put in a retention pond which is another form of mitigation to help with flooding. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich shared SMC requirements, as well as the village’s zoning requirements, for any site development before permit issuance. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich noted any construction on the property cannot adversely impact any adjacent drainage on any adjacent property. This is the rule SMC is looking for first and foremost as a priority to enforce when contemplating a new home in this area. SMC also makes sure that grading is done properly so that does not adversely impact surrounding properties. Then SMC makes sure the site drainage does not impede the flow of water coming from other residents’ property or adversely impact people downstream. These are the fundamental requirements before a permit is issued from SMC. Assistant Public

Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich noted that is how our processes are designed, and our job is to make sure that everything is done so that everything works together in terms of reducing the impact on adjacent properties. Planning & Development Manager Zozulya noted it is important to know that SMC has already initiated their review. Mr. Liu did submit to SMC early on and he did receive a preliminary indication of approval from SMC. Planning & Development Manager Zozulya stated as far as the impervious surface goes, just for the sake of context, this property is shown at less than 3% impervious overall, and our maximum in the R3 Zoning District is 40%.

Trustee Grujanac asked once the property is zoned residential and a new home is constructed, is there anything the Village can do to stop additional impervious surface from being put on the property? Planning & Development Manager Zozulya stated additional impervious surface would require tree removal and that would have to go through the Village for approval. Trustee Grujanac expressed her concern the owner could still put something on the lot that would not impact trees.

Trustee Grujanac asked Mr. Zaiff if this addressed his concerns. Mr. Zaiff stated no, he still had concerns because the environment and system are constantly changing.

Trustee Mitchell moved, and Mayor Brandt seconded the motion to approve an Ordinance Authorizing Rezoning of Property Commonly Known as 51 Lincolnshire Drive from B2 General Business to R3 Single-Family Residence (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu) as presented.

The roll call vote was as follows:

Aye: Mitchell, Wright, Raizin, Mayor Brandt
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: Grujanac, Pantelis

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

7.12 Purchase and Sale and Easement Agreement Between Jim Z.B. Lu and Lucy C. Lu and the Village of Lincolnshire

Mayor Brandt asked if there was a motion to approve 7.12.

Trustee Mitchell moved, and Mayor Brandt seconded the motion to approve a Purchase and Sale and Easement Agreement Between Jim Z.B. Lu and Lucy C. Lu and the Village of Lincolnshire (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu) as presented.

Village Manager Burke asked the Board if they had any questions or concerns; if there is more the petitioner can answer before the vote for Item 7.12.

Trustee Raizin stated she was unclear as to the conclusions about the

tree bond; whether there would be a tree bond or not; and how the Board reevaluates cost benefits of this kind.

Village Manager Burke stated depending upon how you look at the market value of the property and the donation property for Mr. Lu compared to the value of the tree bonds; the difference in what the village is waiving in terms of the tree accountability bond ranges from \$72,000 to \$99,000. There are several factors in the easement agreement that staff would recommend approval for because of the benefits to the Village. Village Manager Burke noted the rezoning has been achieved. Being that the property is now considered part of the existing residential district is a big factor and Mr. Lu being the petitioner to request such a rezoning is beneficial to the Village and surrounding neighborhood. The Village had previous zoning requests for landscape uses and childcare camps, so not having an incompatible use like those in this neighborhood was a big benefit.

In addition, to have a restriction encumbered on the property, with the easement agreement of only one home and not multiple homes, given the large size of the property is a second benefit. Village Manager Burke stated the dedicated ownership of Londonderry Lane, clarifying that Londonderry Lane would be owned by the village rather than obtain access via a prescriptive easement; the land being dedicated to the village for the future lift station; and being able to locate the lift station in this easement area from staffs' assessment are all benefits to the Village. The Village will also realize reduced engineering costs and the overall cost of that future lift station project by approximately \$100,000 by securing part of Mr. Lu's donated land under Village ownership.

Village Manager Burke added there would also be a benefit to the dedication of open space that remains unbuilt in the floodway. Mr. Lu's commitment to removing approximately 219 dead and dying trees from the floodway which can encumber and hinder the flow of water through this area, is also a benefit and at no cost to the village. Those things all went into factoring the tree bond and staff's recommendation to waive the tree removal expense. Village Manager Burke stated if you looked at the Village's acreage requirements in terms of a developer donation as if we were looking at a new residential development in the village elsewhere, not in the floodplain or the floodway, impact fees would be based upon \$245,000 per acre evaluation. So, this is a much lesser amount and the tree accountability more than covers what that would be if you were looking at development outside of the floodplain.

Trustee Raizin asked if any of the trees would need to be replaced. Village Manager Burke stated there is no tree replacement requirement and good forestry practices given how forested the property already is, it is uncertain that planting more trees in this location would be beneficial. Village Manager Burke noted we are not currently reviewing a new single-family residential building permit with this discussion.

Trustee Wright asked for clarification on the chain of title commitment to not subdivide the property. Would this commitment stand for future owners? This may be a resident concern, especially with the fact that the impervious surface threshold is so low. Village Manager Burke stated the easement agreement as drafted, which will be recorded against the property, will run with any future property owners to provide driveway access through the village-owned property at 45 Londonderry Lane. Any future buyer will be encumbered by that commitment to only one single-family home on this property. This easement agreement will be recorded and be part of the record, should future buyers come to acquire the property. It was confirmed that future buyers would also have access to the driveway easement at 45 Londonderry Lane.

Mayor Brandt noted the issue with the tree bond is not unique. This has been done with other developers.

Trustee Grujanac noted there are 69 live trees in this location. A conversation followed regarding trees, tree removal, and the impact of the tree cover in this area on the existing electrical system and ComEd switch gear in this location being a detriment in storm events.

The roll call vote was as follows:

Aye: Mitchell, Wright, Mayor Brandt

Nay: None

Absent: Kelly

Abstain: Grujanac, Pantelis, Raizin

Mayor Brandt declared the motion failed due to a lack of a majority vote.

Mayor Brandt noted concern with all the abstentions since this has previously gone before the Board at the prior Committee of the Whole meeting. Trustee Wright noted there should either be a yay or nay stating this previously came before the board when the property owner showed an interest to purchase during the pre-application meeting last year. Trustee Wright noted it was her recollection, at that time the board agreed that if SMC was okay with the project, the Board would be in favor of the project.

Trustee Pantelis stated she abstained because she would like to see SMC approve this before voting yes.

A conversation regarding SMC approval and the owner not being able to build without this approval followed.

Mr. Kevin Lewis, Civil Engineer representing the property owner, stated he submitted the preliminary plans to SMC and has been dealing with correspondence with SMC on the approval. Mr. Lewis stated they have submitted both plans and calculations which he has also provided to the Village and has been part of the presentation at

the last meeting. SMC has reviewed and gone through the conceptual approval of the plans and at this time SMC is saying okay but more details are required for a full permit approval. The next step would be the complete permit application process. So, there's a little bit of a catch-22 in the way the permit process and the Village process works, but it is the process. The zoning is now in place pursuant to what has been requested so, the petitioner needs to proceed with a formal SMC application and go through that permitting process, and then only subject to the approval of that, would then come back to the village with a building permit application. Trustee Mitchell and Mayor Brandt both stated this is not a catch-22 but the normal process. Mr. Lewis stated the abstentions puts them in a catch-22 from the process.

A conversation ensued about the approval process.

Trustee Raizin noted she was not in attendance at the last meeting and was trying to catch up on the minutes stating she was unclear about the proposed pond location. Mr. Lewis stated the petitioner had a conceptual plan of the house, pond, and access, but the exact pond location is being reconsidered as to what would work best for SMC, the owner, and stormwater management overall. The pond location as depicted in the plans to date is close to where the owners would like to see it, but it might shift a little bit. The pond is in an area that would be lower graded such that it has a tangential benefit for any floodwaters that might enter that area.

A conversation regarding the process for reconsidering the vote and Village Code regulations followed.

Mayor Brandt noted her opinion that the Board is voting on something that would benefit the village by having a lift station, which is part of the mitigation efforts this board has supported previously. Mayor Brandt recapped some benefits of the project such as getting control of the road, removing dead trees at no cost to the Village, and having a pond installed, which would hopefully help reduce the flooding.

Trustee Mitchell agreed with Mayor Brandt and stated the Board's obligation is to balance the overall needs of the Village and the benefits versus the impacts for all. It is a tough balance, but it is the Board's job. Trustee Mitchell noted the Village has numerous laws, requirements, and rules on how these development processes work. Mr. Lu has gone to great effort to provide the required information and has met all the required obligations. Trustee Mitchell recommended the Board revote on this with careful consideration.

Village Manager Burke asked Village Attorney Mack if there is a motion to reconsider and another split vote tonight, since there is one Trustee missing would there be an opportunity to reconsider at a future meeting for a second reconsideration with all Trustees present? Village Attorney Mack stated there is nothing that would prevent the Board from reconsidering the question a second time at a future meeting date.

Mayor Brandt stated the Board approved the zoning change and asked if Mr. Lu could still go through the process without dedicating the easement to the Village. Village Manager Burke stated he would not be provided driveway access from Londonderry Lane as this is a limitation imposed by the Village. Londonderry Lane is the first to take on water in a flood, and the Village would not want a residential home directly accessing one of the most flooded roadways. Given this situation, the driveway access would be encumbered by a roadway that is the lowest elevation in the community, and this would not be permitted by the Village. Assistant Public Works Director/Village Engineer Dittrich stated SMC does not prohibit this, but it has been staff's position on preventing driveway access from Londonderry Lane.

Trustee Mitchell moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to reconsider a Purchase and Sale and Easement Agreement Between Jim Z.B. Lu and Lucy C. Lu and the Village of Lincolnshire (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu) as presented. The roll call vote was as follows:

Aye: Mitchell, Wright, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: Grujanac
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

Trustee Mitchell moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to approve a Purchase and Sale and Easement Agreement Between Jim Z.B. Lu and Lucy C. Lu and the Village of Lincolnshire (51 Lincolnshire Drive – Jim Lu) as presented. The roll call vote was as follows:

Aye: Mitchell, Wright, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: Grujanac
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

7.13 Approval of an Ordinance Approving a Text Amendment to Title 6 (Zoning), Chapters 2 (Zoning Definitions), 6A (B1 Retail Business District), and 6B (General Business District) of the Lincolnshire Village Code

Planning & Development Manager Zozulya introduced the proposed Ordinance approving a Text Amendment to Title 6 (Zoning), Chapters 2 (Zoning Definitions), 6A (B1 Retail Business District), and 6B (General Business District) of the Lincolnshire Village Code noting this is a carry-over item for the Trustees not in attendance at the June 26, 2023, Committee of the Whole meeting to weigh in on the drive-through lane approval track for banks, financial institutions, and pharmacies. Staff's recommendation was any establishment with no more than one drive-through be allowed by right and more than one

drive-through follow the special use approval process which is in place today. B1 and B2 restaurants and other uses with drive-through facilities will still require a special use permit.

Trustee Pantelis asked if this only applies to new banks going forward. Planning & Development Manager Zozulya stated this is for any existing bank as well; if they were to rebuild, the process would apply. Currently, all existing banks are set with multiple drive-throughs.

Trustee Mitchell asked for confirmation that this would not require any existing bank drive-through to be removed. Planning & Development Manager Zozulya confirmed.

Trustee Raizin asked if this would change if there was a new owner. Planning & Development Manager Zozulya stated this would not change with a new owner or new tenant.

Trustee Wright moved, and Trustee Mitchell seconded the motion to approve a Text Amendment to Title 6 (Zoning), Chapters 2 (Zoning Definitions), 6A (B1 Retail Business District), and 6B (General Business District) of the Lincolnshire Village Code as presented. The roll call was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

7.2 Finance and Administration

7.21 Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement Between the Villages of Buffalo Grove, Glenview, Kenilworth, Lake Bluff, Lincolnshire, and the City of Yorkville to Provide Mutual Assistance and Mutual Aid In and Around Information Technology Professional Services

7.22 Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement for the Joint Solicitation of a Shared IT Service Provider Between the Villages of Buffalo Grove and Lincolnshire

7.23 Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with InterDev, LLC, for Information Technology Services

Mayor Brandt opened Items 7.21 – 7.23 together.

Assistant Village Manager/Community & Economic Development (CED) Director Roesler noted Item 7.21 pertains to the Village's continued interest to partner with communities listed on the agenda and in the intergovernmental agreement. Lincolnshire had been a member of the GovIT Consortium since 2015. The intent of that group was meant to come together around IT services and take advantage of joint

procurement and try to standardize infrastructure and software. In December of 2023, the GovIT consortium issued an RFP for IT services as our current contract was expiring at the end of June 2023. After that RFP was issued, the Village of Glenview indicated they don't necessarily see the value in the GovIT model, and they indicated their desire to withdraw. This has had a cascading effect on the rest of the members of the consortium. What this IGA does is memorialize a contract these communities have for one piece of software that was pre-purchased and has a term of three years. The IGA is needed for continuing support to that software. But also, in the event any of the communities needed a piece of equipment, we could lend that to one another through this IGA.

Assistant Village Manager/CED Director Roesler noted Item 7.22 is simply memorializing a partnership between Lincolnshire and Buffalo Grove so we can take advantage of joint procurement opportunities for IT services. We have negotiated a contract with InterDev in partnership with Buffalo Grove. Both villages will continue to partner with one another but will manage IT contracts separately.

Assistant Village Manager/CED Director Roesler noted Item 7.23 is an 18-month contract with InterDev which is the village's current IT service provider. The cost is approximately double what we pay currently. The reasons for the increase are the market is dictating IT services and based on responses received from several vendors, they are all roughly within the same ballpark cost estimate. Staff believes the cost no matter who we would have gone with, would have been roughly the same. By selecting InterDev, we can ensure continuity with the service provider we have had a relationship with for the last eight years. Under this new contract, the Village is receiving additional on-site support, going from one day a week to two and a half days a week.

Trustee Wright moved, and Trustee Pantelis seconded the motion to approve an Intergovernmental Agreement Between the Villages of Buffalo Grove, Glenview, Kenilworth, Lake Bluff, Lincolnshire, and the City of Yorkville to Provide Mutual Assistance and Mutual Aid In and Around Information Technology Professional Services as presented. The roll call was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

Trustee Grujanac moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to approve an Intergovernmental Agreement for the Joint Solicitation of a Share IT Service Provider Between the Villages of Buffalo Grove and Lincolnshire as presented. The roll call was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

Trustee Grujanac moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to approve a Professional Services Agreement with InterDev, LLC, for Information Technology Services as presented. The roll call was as follows:

Aye: Grujanac, Wright, Mitchell, Pantelis, Raizin
Nay: None
Absent: Kelly
Abstain: None

Mayor Brandt declared the motion carried.

- 7.3 Public Work**
- 7.4 Police**
- 7.5 Parks and Recreation**
- 7.6 Judiciary and Personnel**

8.0 REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES

9.0 UNFINISHED BUSINESS

10.0 NEW BUSINESS

11.0 EXECUTIVE SESSION

12.0 ADJOURNMENT

Trustee Grujanac moved, and Trustee Wright seconded the motion to adjourn. The voice vote was unanimous, and Mayor Brandt declared the meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
VILLAGE OF LINCOLNSHIRE

Barbara Mastandrea
Village Clerk